1						
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA					
3	MICHAEL S. ARGENYI, )					
4	Plaintiff, ) 8:09CV341					
5	vs. ) Omaha, Nebraska					
6	) September 3, 2013 CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY, )					
7	Defendant. )					
8						
9						
10	VOLUME VIII					
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAURIE SMITH CAMP					
12	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE AND A JURY					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20	COURT REPORTER: Ms. Brenda L. Fauber, RDR, CRR					
21	111 S. 18th Plaza Suite 3122					
22	Omaha, NE 68102 (402) 661-7322					
23						
24						
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced with computer.					

				1	.098
1				A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S	
2	F0D			W W G W	
3	FOR	THE	PLAINTIFF:	Ms. Mary C. Vargas Mr. Michael S. Stein	
4				Stein Vargas Law Firm P.O. Box 522	
5				Emmitsburg, MD 21727	
6				Ms. Dianne D. DeLair	
7				Nebraska Advocacy Services 134 South 13th Street Suite 600	
8				Lincoln, NE 68508	
9					
10				Ms. Caroline E. Jackson NAD and Advocacy Center	
11				8630 Fenton Street Suite 820 Silver Springs, MD 20910	
12				Silver Springs, MD 20910	
13	FOR	THE	DEFENDANT:	Mr. Scott P. Moore Ms. Allison D. Balus	
14				Baird Holm Law Firm  1700 Farnam Street	
15				Suite 1500 Omaha, NE 68102	
16				Omaria, Mi 00102	
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	Ì				

1 (At 3:58 p.m. on September 3, 2013, with counsel for the 2 plaintiff present in chambers and counsel for the defendant 3 present via telephone, the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: This is Judge Smith Camp. And 5 plaintiff's counsel are in the room, as well as Rachel Timm, 6 our law clerk. And Brenda Fauber is making a record. 7 I have a question from the foreperson of the jury and the question is: What do we do if we have a split decision on 8 number one question? Now, they're continuing deliberating. They're still 10 working in there. My question for both sides here is whether 11 you've had any discussion about the possibility of a 12 nonunanimous verdict. 13 14 And I will just mention to you that in at least one case 15 I've had not too long ago, there was an agreement to go down, 16 say, in that case from 12 to 11. We still didn't have a 17 verdict. Then there was an agreement to go down from 11 to 18 Still no verdict. We went down from 10 to 9, and we got a verdict. And neither side was happy with the verdict, and 19 20 they appealed as I recall. So, that's the discussion I wanted to have at this 21 22 juncture. And you don't necessarily need me for this

discussion, but I do need to respond to the jury.

I know another potential response is to bring them in and

have an Allen charge, and I can certainly do that. I'm very

23

24

25

willing to do that.

I certainly don't want to release them yet because nobody really wants to try the case again. And if there's a possibility of getting a verdict, that would be preferable.

But I believe the pretrial order indicated that you were requiring a unanimous verdict.

So, I'll ask the plaintiff if you have any position on that that you want to share at this time, or if counsel would prefer to talk among themselves and then get back to me in a short period of time so I can respond to the jury?

I just don't want to keep the jury waiting too long.

MS. VARGAS: Your Honor -- Scott, hi; Allie, hi; this is Mary Vargas.

The request for unanimous verdict came from defendants.

And so I think it really is in their hands whether at this

point they'd be willing to accept something less than that.

MR. MOORE: Yeah, absolutely, your Honor. Mary's absolutely right.

I would need to -- a few minutes to call our client and just get the client's input. I do have the cell phone number of our client contact. And I would call him right away and get back with you, I would hope, within a matter of minutes.

THE COURT: I appreciate you doing that. And that was something I was going to encourage if you didn't have authorization to go with less than a unanimous verdict

```
1
       because, of course, a client has a big stake if this has to be
 2
       tried again.
 3
                MR. MOORE: Yes, yes.
                THE COURT: Please do confer with your client and
 4
 5
       then let me know when you have a response. And we will go on
 6
       the record again at that time with the response, so I know how
 7
       to get back to the jury.
            All right. Thank you, Mr. Moore. I'll let you get ahold
 8
       of your client.
 9
10
                MR. MOORE: Okay. Thank you, Judge. Thank you,
11
       Mary.
12
            (Break taken from 4:03 to 4:10 p.m.)
                THE COURT: We now have plaintiff's counsel in the
13
14
       room and Mr. Moore is on the telephone. And Mr. Moore, can
15
       you tell me what your client advised you?
16
                MR. MOORE: Sure. And for the record, Allison Balus
17
       is also on the phone.
                THE COURT: Very good. Hello, Ms. Balus.
18
19
                MS. BALUS: Hi.
20
                MR. MOORE: Creighton is willing to stipulate to less
       than a unanimous verdict.
21
22
                THE COURT: All right.
23
                MR. MOORE: And I'm not sure how the Court or the
       other side wants to work it with regard to what "less than
24
25
       unanimous" means.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Well, I want to be very clear about what
 2
       "less than unanimous" means. Either we're going to say it
 3
       will be a majority, and that's easy since we have an odd
       number, and that would make it easy for the jury because
 5
       there's obviously a majority leaning one way or the other;
       or we can specify the number, agree upon the number.
 6
 7
            So, Mr. Moore, is it your understanding from your client
       that they would go with a majority verdict?
 8
                MR. MOORE: No.
                THE COURT: Okay. Well, then that narrows it down.
10
                MR. MOORE: Yeah.
11
                THE COURT: We can also start with a 10-to-1 verdict;
12
13
       and if we don't get a verdict, work from there. We just go
14
       back to the drawing board here.
            So if it's agreeable to -- or you can come up with some
15
16
       number in between and agree upon that right now. But I'll let
       plaintiff's counsel talk now and see what's agreeable.
17
18
                MS. VARGAS: Well, Scott, I think we remain open to
       where you stand on this. So, we certainly would accept 10 to
19
20
       1. But if there's something else your client would be willing
21
       to accept, you know, 9 to 2, then we'd accept that as well.
22
                MR. MOORE: I mean, I -- I would probably rather
23
       start out with 9 to 2 and see where that gets us.
                THE COURT: All right.
24
25
                MR. MOORE: If that would be acceptable to you, Mary.
```

```
1
                MS. VARGAS: Yes, that's fine, Scott.
 2
                THE COURT: The parties have agreed to accept a
 3
       nine-person verdict.
            And my response will be: The parties have agreed to
 4
 5
       accept a verdict of nine jurors.
            I think that should be clear enough. Any objection to
 6
 7
       that wording, Ms. Vargas?
                MS. VARGAS: None, your Honor.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Moore?
                MR. MOORE: None from the defendant, your Honor.
10
                THE COURT: That will be the response. Thank you so
11
12
       much.
13
            I'll let you know when we hear back.
14
                MR. MOORE: Very good. Thank you.
15
                MS. VARGAS: Thank you.
16
17
            (Adjourned at 4:14 p.m.)
18
19
            I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
20
       the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
21
22
             /s Brenda L. Fauber
          Brenda L. Fauber, RDR, CRR
23
24
25
```